arXiv:1610.09776v1 [nucl-th] 31 Oct 2016

Mathematical aspects of the nuclear glory phenomenon: from backward focusing to Chebyshev polynomials

V.B. Kopeliovich[a,b*]

a) Institute for Nuclear Research of RAS, Moscow 117312, Russia

b) Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (MIPT), Dolgoprudny, Moscow district, Russia

November 1, 2016

Abstract

The angular dependence of the cumulative particles production off nuclei near the kinematical boundary for multistep process is defined by characteristic polynomials in angular variables $J_N^2(z_N^\theta)$, where θ is the polar angle defining the momentum of the final (cumulative) particle, $z_N^\theta = cos(\theta/N)$, the integer N being the multiplicity of the process (the number of interactions). Physical argumentation, exploring the small phase space method, leads to the appearance of equations for these polynomials $J_N^2[cos(\pi/N)] = 0$. The recurrent relations between polynomials with different N are obtained, and their connection with known in mathematics Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind is established. As a result of this equality, differential cross section of the cumulative particle production has characteristic behaviour $d\sigma \sim 1/\sqrt{\pi - \theta}$ at $\theta \sim \pi$ (the backward focusing effect). Such behaviour takes place for any multiplicity of the interaction, beginning with $n = 3$, elastic or inelastic (with resonances excitations in intermediate states), and can be called the nuclear glory phenomenon, or 'Buddha's light' of cumulative particles.

1 Introduction

The particles production processes in high energy interactions of different projectiles with nuclei, in regions forbidden by kinematics for the interaction with a single free nucleon (cumulative production processes), are studied intensively since 70-th mostly in Dubna (JINR), beginning with the paper [1], and in Moscow (ITEP) [2, 3], some restricted review of data can be found in [4, 5].

The interpretation of these phenomena as being manifestation of internal structure of nuclei assumes that the secondary interactions, or, more generally, multiple interactions processes (MIP) do not play a crucial role in such production. In the case of the large angle particle production the background processes which mask the possible manifestations of nontrivial features of nuclear structure, are subsequent multiple interactions with nucleons inside the nucleus leading to the particles emission in the "kinematically forbidden" region (KFR). In the case of cumulative particles production studies of multistep, or cascade processe have been unpopular among physisists, because the main goal of experiments in this field was to reveal manifestations of new nontrivial effects in nuclear structure. However, it has been proved [6, 7, 8, 9] that multistep processes provide certain, not negligible contribution to the cumulative production cross sections, although other important contributions are not excluded and remain to be the main purpose of futher studies.

*e-mail: kopelio@inr.ru

The small phase space method developed previously in [7, 9] allows to get analytical expressions for the probability of the multiple interaction processes near the corresponding kinematical boundaries. The quadratic form in angular variables (deviations from the optimal kinematics) plays the key role in this approach. The recurrent relations for the characteristic polynomials in polar angles deviations have been obtained in [5] and are reproduced in present talk. The connection of these polynomials with Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind, known in mathematics since middle of 19-th century [13, 14] and used in approximation theory, has been established. It is an example of interest when physics arguments have led to some results in mathematics.

In the next section the peculiarities of kinematics of the processes in KFR will be recalled, in section 3 the small phase space method of the MIP contributions calculation to the particles production cross section in KFR is described according to [7, 9]. In section 4 the characteristic polynomials in polar angle deviations from the optimal kinematics are obtained, which define the angular dependence of the cross section on the emission angle of the final (cumulative) particle and lead to the backward focusing effect, similar to the known in optics glory phenomenon. The connection of characteristic polynomials with Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind (Chebyshev-Korkin-Zolotarev, or CKZ-polynomials) is established (section 6). Some generalizations for the case of inelastic rescatterings are discussed as well. Final section contains conclusions and discussion of problems.

2 Features of kinematics of the processes in KFR.

At large enough incident energy, $\omega_0 = k_0^0 \gg M_f$, we obtain easily

$$\omega_f - zk_f \leq m_t, \tag{2.1}$$

which is the basic restriction for such processes. $z = cos\,\theta < 0$ for particle produced in backward hemisphere, The quantity $(\omega_f - zk_f)/m$, m is the nucleon mass, has been called the cumulative number (more precize, the integer part of this ratio plus 1).

For light particles (photon, also π-meson) iteration of the Compton formula allows to get the final energy in the form

$$\frac{1}{\omega_N} - \frac{1}{\omega_0} = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left[1 - cos(\theta_n)\right] \tag{2.2}$$

The maximal energy of final particle is reached for the coplanar process when all scattering processes take place in the same plane and each angle equals to $\theta_k = \theta/N$. As a result, we obtain after expansion of $cos(\theta/N)$ and for large enough ω_0

$$\omega_N^{max} \simeq N\frac{2m}{\theta^2} + \frac{m}{6N}, \tag{2.3}$$

which works satisfactory beginning with $N = 2$.

In the case of the nucleon-nucleon scattering (scattering of particles with equal nonzero masses in general case) similar expression can be obtained in somewhat different way [7, 9]

$$p_N^{max} \simeq N\frac{2m}{\theta^2} - \frac{m}{3N}, \tag{2.4}$$

which works satisfactory for $N > 3$ and coincides with previous result for the rescattering of light particles at large N.

The normal Fermi motion of nucleons inside the nucleus makes these boundaries wider [9]:

$$p_N^{max} \simeq N\frac{2m}{\theta^2}\left[1 + \frac{p_F^{max}}{2m}\left(\theta + \frac{1}{\theta}\right)\right], \tag{2.5}$$

at $\theta \sim \pi$, where it is supposed that the final angle θ is large. For numerical estimates we took the step function for the distribution in the Fermi momenta of nucleons inside of nuclei, with $p_F^{max}/m \simeq 0.27$, see [9] and references there.

The elastic rescatterings themselves are only the "top of the iceberg". Excitations of the rescattered particles, i.e. production of resonances in intermediate states which go over again into detected particles in subsequent interactions, provide the dominant contribution to the production cross section [8, 7]. Simplest examples of such processes may be $NN \to NN^* \to NN$, $\pi N \to \rho N \to \pi N$, etc. The relative increase of the final momentum k_f due to resonances excitation - deexcitation in intermediate states equals approximately

$$\frac{\Delta k_f}{k_f} \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \frac{\Delta M_l^2}{k_l^2}, \tag{2.6}$$

where ΔM_l^2 is the difference of the masses squared, of the resonance and incident particle. The point is that the number of diferent processes of this kind grows rapidly with increasing N, like $(N_r+1)^{N-1}$, N_r being the number of resonances.

3 The small phase space method for the MIP probability calculations.

This method, most adequate for anlytical and semi-analytical calculations of the MIP probabilities, has been proposed in [7] and developed later in [9]. It is based on the fact that, according to established in [7] and presented in previous section kinematical relations, there is a preferable plane of the whole MIP leading to the production of energetic particle at large angle θ, but not strictly backwards. Also, the angles of subsequent rescatterings are close to θ/N. Such kinematics has been called optimal, or basic kinematics. The deviations of real angles from the optimal values are small, they are defined mostly by the difference $k_N^{max} - k$, where $k_N^{max}(\theta)$ is the maximal possible momentum reachable for definite MIP, and k is the final momentum of the detected particle. $k_N^{max}(\theta)$ should be calculated taking into account normal Fermi motion of nucleons inside the nucleus, and also resonances excitation — deexcitation in the intermediate state. Some high power of the difference $(k_N^{max} - k)/k_N^{max}$ enters the resulting probability.

Within the quasiclassical treatment adequate for our case, the probability product approximation is valid, and the following starting expression for the inclusive cross section of the particle production at large angles takes place (see, e.g., Eq. (4.11) of [9]):

$$\frac{d^3k}{\omega} f_N = \pi R_A^2 G_N(R_A,\theta) \int \frac{f_1(\vec{k}_1) d^3k_1}{\sigma_1^{leav}\omega_1} \prod_{l=2}^{N} \frac{M_k^2(s_k,t_k)\delta(m+\omega_{l-1}-\omega_l-\omega_{l-1})}{(8\pi)^2 \sigma_l^{leav} m k_{l-1}\omega_l\omega_{l-1}} d^3k_l \tag{3.1}$$

Here σ_l^{leav} is the cross section defining the removal (or leaving) of the rescattered object at the corresponding section of the trajectory. It includes all inelastic cross section, the part of elastic cross section and the part of the resonance production cross sections, and can be considerably smaller than the total interaction cross section of the l-th intermediate particle with nucleon. $G_N(R_A,\theta)$ is the geometrical factor defining the probability of the N-fold interaction with definite trajectory of the interacting particles (resonances) inside the nucleus. This trajectory is defined mostly by the final values of $\vec{k}$, θ, according to the kinematical relations of previous section. $f_1 = \omega_1 d^3\sigma_1/d^3k_1$, $\omega_N' = \omega$ — the energy of the observed particle.

After some evaluation and introducing the differential cross sections of binary reactions $d\sigma_l/dt_l(s_l,t_l)$ instead of the matrix elements of binary reactions $M_l^2(s_l,t_l)$, we came to the formula for the production

cross section due to the N-fold MIP [7, 9]

$$f_N(\vec{p}_0,\vec{k}) = \pi R_A^2 G_N(R_A,\theta)\int \frac{f_1(\vec{p}_0,\vec{k}_1)(k_1^0)^3 x_1^2 dx_1 d\Omega_1}{\sigma_1^{leav}\omega_1}\prod_{l=2}^{N}\left(\frac{d\sigma_l(s_l,t_l)}{dt}\right)\frac{(s_l-m^2-\mu_l^2)^2-4m^2\mu_l^2}{4\pi m\sigma_l^{leav}k_{l-1}}$$

$$\times\prod_{l=2}^{N-1}\frac{k_l^2 d\Omega_l}{k_l(m+\omega_{l-1}-z_l\omega_l k_{l-1})}\frac{1}{\omega'_N}\delta(m+\omega_{N-1}-\omega_N-\omega'_N). \qquad (3.2)$$

Further details depend on the particular process. For the case of the light particle rescattering, π-meson for example, $\mu_l^2/m^2 \ll 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\omega'_N}\delta(m+\omega_{N-1}-\omega_N-\omega'_N) = \frac{1}{kk_{N-1}}\delta\left[\frac{m}{k}-\sum_{l=2}^{N}(1-z_l)-\frac{1}{x_1}\left(\frac{m}{p_0}+1-z_1\right)\right] \qquad (3.3)$$

To obtain this relation, one should use the equality $\omega'_N = \sqrt{m^2+(\vec{k}_{N-1}^2-\vec{k})^2}$ (energy-momentum conservation in the last interaction act) and the known rules for manipulations with the δ-function. When the final angle θ is considerably different from π, there is a preferable plane near which the whole multiple interaction process takes place, and only processes near this plane contribute to the final output (small phase space). A necessary step is to introduce azimuthal deviations from this optimal kinematics, φ_k, $k = 1, ..., N-1$. $\varphi_N = 0$ by definition of the plane of the process, $(\vec{p}_0,\vec{k})$. Polar deviations from the basic values, θ/N, are denoted as ϑ_k, obviously, $\sum_{k=1}^{N}\vartheta_k = 0$. The direction of the momentum $\vec{k}_l$ after l-th interaction, $\vec{n}_l$, is defined by the azimuthal angle φ_l and the polar angle $\theta_l = (l\theta/N)+\vartheta_1+...+\vartheta_l$. Both azimuthal and polar deviations are restricted in this case by the (small) difference between the value of final spatial momentum $|\vec{k}|$ (or energy ω) and kinematical boundary for the corresponding MIP. At the angle $\theta = \pi$, strictly backwards, there is azimuthal symmtry, and the processes from the whole interval of azimuthal angle $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ provide contribution to the final output (azimuthal focusing, see next section).

Then we obtained [7, 9] making the expansion in φ_l, ϑ_l and including quadratic terms in these variables:

$$z_k = (\vec{n}_k\vec{n}_{k-1}) \simeq cos(\theta/N)(1-\vartheta_k^2/2) - sin(\theta/N)\vartheta_k + sin(k\theta/N)sin[(k-1)\theta/N](\varphi_k-\varphi_{k-1})^2/2. \qquad (3.4)$$

In the case of the rescattering of light particles the sum enters the phase space of the process

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N}(1-cos\vartheta_k) = N[1-cos(\theta/N)] + cos(\theta/N)\sum_{k=1}^{N}\Big[-\varphi_k^2 sin^2(k\theta/N)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{cos(\theta/N)}sin(k\theta/N)sin((k-1)\theta/N)\Big] - \frac{cos(\theta/N)}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\vartheta_k^2 \qquad (3.5)$$

To derive this equality we used that $\varphi_N = \varphi_0 = 0$ — by definition of the plane of the MIP, and the mentioned relation $\sum_{k=1}^{N}\vartheta_k = 0$. We used also the identity, valid for $\varphi_N = \varphi_0 = 0$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\varphi_k^2+\varphi_{k-1}^2\right)sin(k\theta/N)sin[(k-1)\theta/N] = cos(\theta/N)\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k^2 sin^2(k\theta/N). \qquad (3.5a)$$

It is possible to present it in the canonical form and to perform integration easily, see Appendix B and Eq. (4.23) of [9]. As a result, we have the integral over angular variables of the following form:

$$I_N(\varphi,\vartheta) = \int\delta\Big[\Delta^{ext}-z_N\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{k=N}\varphi_k^2-\varphi_k\varphi_{k-1}/z_N+\vartheta_k^2/2\Big)\Big]\prod_{l=1}^{N-1}d\varphi_l d\vartheta_l = \frac{(\Delta^{ext})^{N-2}(\sqrt{2}\pi)^{N-1}}{J_N(z_N)\sqrt{N}(N-2)!z_N^{N-1}}, \qquad (3.6)$$

Since the element of a solid angle $d\Omega_l = sin(\theta\, l/N) d\vartheta_l d\varphi_l$, we made here substitution $sin(\theta\, l/N)\, d\varphi_l \to d\varphi_l$. $z_N = cos(\theta/N)$, $\Delta^{ext} \simeq m/k - m/p_0 - N(1-z_N) + (1-x_1)m/p_0$ defines the distance of the momentum (energy) of the emitted particle $\vec{k}$, ω from the kinematical boundary for the whole N-fold MIP.

$$J_N^2(z) = Det\,||a_N||, \tag{3.7}$$

where the matrix $||a||$ defines the quadratic form $Q_N(z)$ which enters the argument of the δ-function in Eq. (3.6):

$$Q_N(z,\varphi_k) = a_{kl}\varphi_k\varphi_l = \sum_{k=1}^{k=N} \varphi_k^2 - \frac{\varphi_k\varphi_{k-1}}{z}, \tag{3.8}$$

for example:

$$Q_2 = \varphi_1^2, \quad Q_3 = \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{cos(\theta/3)}; \quad Q_4 = \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 + \varphi_3^2 - \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{cos(\theta/4)} - \frac{\varphi_2\varphi_3}{cos(\theta/4)};\ ...$$

The phase space of the process (3.3) which depends strongly on Δ_N^{ext} after integration over angular variables takes the form

$$\Phi_N^{pions} = \int \prod_{l=1}^{N-1} d\Omega_l \frac{1}{\omega'_N} \delta(m + \omega_{N-1} - \omega_N - \omega'_N) = \frac{(\sqrt{2}\pi)^{N-1}(\Delta^{ext})^{N-2}}{k k_{N-1}(N-2)!\sqrt{N} J_N(z_N) z_N^{N-1}}. \tag{3.9}$$

For nucleons rescattering there are some differences from the case of the light particle, but exactly the same quadratic form in angular deviations comes into consideration [7, 9, 4].

4 Quadratic form in angular deviations, characteristic polynomials and their properties.

The obvious recurrent relation takes place for the quadratic form in azimuthal deviations:

$$Q_{N+1}(z,\varphi_k,\varphi_l) = Q_N(z,\varphi_k,\varphi_l) + \varphi_N^2 - \varphi_N\,\varphi_{N-1}/z, \tag{4.1}$$

with $z = cos[\theta/(N+1)]$, has the same value in both sides of this equation, $\varphi_{N+1} = 0$ by definition of the plane of the process.

Let t be the transformation (matrix) which brings our quadratic form to the canonical form: $\tilde{t}\, a\, t = \mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the unit matrix $n \times n$, and $\tilde{t}_{kl} = t_{lk}$. Then the equality takes place for the Jacobian of this transformation

$$(det\,||t||)^{-2} = J_N^2(z) = det\,||a_N||, \qquad (det\,||t||)^{-1} = J_N(z) = \sqrt{det\,||a_N||}. \tag{4.2}$$

It is convenient to present the quadratic form in ϕ_k, ϕ_l which enters the δ - function in (3.6), as the sum of squares of certain combinations of deviations φ_k

$$Q_{N+1}(\varphi_k,\varphi_l) = J_2^2\left(\varphi_1 - \frac{\varphi_2}{2zJ_2^2}\right)^2 + \frac{J_3^2}{J_2^2}\left(\varphi_2 - \frac{J_2^2\varphi_3}{2zJ_3^2}\right)^2 + ... + \frac{J_N^2}{J_{N-1}^2}\left(\varphi_{N-1} - \frac{J_{N-1}^2\varphi_N}{2zJ_N^2}\right)^2 + \frac{J_{N+1}^2}{J_N^2}\varphi_N^2 \tag{4.3}$$

with $J_2^2 = 1$. From the recurrent relation (4.1) we can write the equality for the last several terms in quadratic form (4.3), depending on φ_{N-1} and φ_N:

$$\frac{J_N^2}{J_{N-1}^2}\varphi_{N-1}^2 + \varphi_N^2 - \frac{\varphi_N\varphi_{N-1}}{z} = \frac{J_N^2}{J_{N-1}^2}\left(\varphi_{N-1} - \frac{J_{N-1}^2}{J_N^2}\frac{\varphi_N}{2z}\right)^2 + \frac{J_{N+1}^2}{J_N^2}\varphi_N^2. \tag{4.4}$$

From equality of coefficients before φ_N^2 in the left and right sides we obtain

$$1 = \frac{J_{N-1}^2}{4z^2 J_N^2} + \frac{J_{N+1}^2}{J_N^2}. \tag{4.5}$$

The recurrent relation follows immediately:

$$J_{N+1}^2(z) = J_N^2(z) - \frac{1}{4z^2} J_{N-1}^2(z), \tag{4.6}$$

here $z = cos[\theta/(N+1)]$. The following general formula for $J_N^2(z_N)$ has been obtained in [9], Eq. (4.23) [1]:

$$Det||a_{kl}|| = J_N^2(z_N) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{m<N/2} \left(-\frac{1}{4z_N^2}\right)^m \frac{\prod_{k=1}^m (N-m-k)}{m!} = \sum_{m=0}^{m<N/2} \left(-\frac{1}{4z_N^2}\right)^m C_{N-m-1}^m, \tag{4.7}$$

$z_N = cos(\theta/N)$, $Det\,||a_{kl}||$ is the determinant of the matrix $||a||$, C_n^m is the number of combinations.

As it became clear to us recently, the polynomials (4.7) coincide, up to some factor depending on $z = cos(\theta/N)$ with Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind, discovered in the middle of 19-th century [13, 14]. The connection of characteristic polynomials $J_N^2\,[cos(\theta/N)]$ with Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind (CKZ-polynomials) will be described in next section.

When the final angle $\theta = \pi$, there is no restriction on the one of azimuthal deviations, at least, the plane of the whole MIP can be rotated around common axis of symmetry (direction of the incident momentum $\vec{p}_0$ which coincides with the direction of final momentum $\vec{k}$), the qudratic form in azimuthal deviations becomes degenerate, i.e. $det||a_N(\theta=\pi)|| = J_N^2(\theta=\pi) = 0$

The condition $J_N(\pi/N) = 0$ leads to the equation for z_N^π which solution (one of roots) provides the value of $cos(\pi/N)$ in terms of radicals. The following expressions for some of these Jacobians take place [7, 9]

$$J_2^2(z) = 1; \qquad J_3^2(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{4z^2}; \qquad J_4^2(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2}, \tag{4.8}$$

Obviously enough, $J_3(\pi/3) = 0$, $J_4(\pi/4) = 0$; less trivial examples were given in [9, 4, 5].

For arbitrary N, J_N^2 is a polynomial in $1/4z^2$ of the power $|(N-1)/2|$ (integer part of $(N-1)/2$. Since the solutions of the equations $J_N^2(z) = 0$ are not known in general form when the power of the polymial is greater than 5, the knowledge of at least one solution, $z = cos(\pi/N)$ can be helpful.

These equations can be obtained using the elementary mathematics methods as well, however, the general expression for arbitrary N may be of interest. The case $N = 2$ is a special one, because $J_2(z) = 1$ - is a constant. But in this case the 2-fold process at $\theta = \pi$ (strictly backwards) has no advantage in comparison with the direct one, if we consider the elastic rescatterings.

The relation can be obtained from Eq. (4.6)

$$J_N^2(z) = J_{N-k}^2(z) J_{k+1}^2(z) - \frac{1}{4z^2} J_{N-k-1}^2(z) J_k^2(z) \tag{4.9}$$

which, at $N = 2m$, $k = m$ (m is the integer), leads to remarkable relation

$$J_{2m}^2(z) = J_m^2(z) \left(J_{m+1}^2(z) - \frac{1}{4z^2} J_{m-1}^2(z)\right) = J_m^2(z) \left(J_m^2(z) - \frac{1}{2z^2} J_{m-1}^2(z)\right). \tag{4.10}$$

Many other relations of interest can be obtained from Eq. (4.9).

[1] In the paper [7], Eq (15), this formula has been presented for N up to $N = 5$.

5 Connection of characteristic polynomials with Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind.

The following useful relations has been found, see Eq. $(A.17)$ of [4] (and (6.17) of [5]), which can be easily verified:

$$\left(2z_N^\theta\right)^{N-1} J_N^2\left(z_N^\theta\right) sin\frac{\theta}{N} = sin\theta; \qquad J_N^2(z_N^\theta) = \frac{1}{\left(2z_N^\theta\right)^{N-1}} \frac{sin\theta}{sin(\theta/N)} \tag{5.1}$$

It follows immediately, that zeros of $J_N(z)$ occur at $\theta = m\pi$, m being any integer, so, $cos(m\pi/N)$ are $N-1$ roots of $J_N^2(z)$.

Obviously, the right side of these equalities equals zero at $\theta = \pi$, but $sin(\pi/N)$ is different from zero for any integer $N \geq 2$. Therefore, the polynomial in $cos(\pi/N)$ in the left side of (5.1) should be equal to zero. These relations provide the link between the general case of MIP and the particular case of the optimal kinematics with all scattering angles equal to θ/N.

The known in mathematics Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind [13, 14] [2] are defined as

$$U_n[cos\,\theta] = \frac{sin(n+1)\theta}{sin\theta}. \tag{5.2}$$

For any number n these polynomials are defined as function of common variable $x = cos\,\theta$ which is confined in the interval $-1 \leq x \leq 1$. The recurrent relation

$$U_{n+1}(x) = 2xU_n(x) \; - \; U_{n-1}(x) \tag{5.3}$$

can be easily checked using definition (5.2). Several examples are presented in the table.

The relation between characteristic polynomials $J^2(cos(\theta/N))$ and CKZ-polynomials $U_N(cos(\theta/N))$ takes place

$$\left(2z_N^\theta\right)^{N-1} J_N^2(z_N^\theta) = U_{N-1}(z_N^\theta), \tag{5.4}$$

and recurrent relations (5.3) and (4.6) are identical.

Different equivalent general expressions for thr CKZ-polynomials are presented in [13]:

$$U_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} C_{n-k}^k \,(-1)^k (2x)^{n-2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} C_{n+1}^{2k+1} (x^2-1)^k x^{n-2k} \quad , \quad n > 0 \tag{5.5}$$

where $[n/2]$ is the integer part of $n/2$, $C_n^m = n!/[m!(n-m)!]$ is the number of combinations. The first of these formulas coincides with the expression (4.7), presented in [9] up to the coefficient $[2cos(\theta/N)]^{N-1}$. It follows from above definition (5.2) that zeros (roots) of polynomials take place when $sin(n+1)\theta = 0$, but $sin\theta$ is different from zero. So, there are n roots at $\theta = \pi/(n+1), ... \quad \theta = n\pi/(n+1)$, and we have the equations which define the values of $cos(k\pi/n)$ at arbitrary integer n and k. The ortonormality conditions for the CKZ polynomials have the form $\int_{-1}^{1} U_m(x)U_n(x) = \pi\delta_{nm}$, which can be eazily verified using the trigonometrical definition (5.2) of these polynomials.

[2] According to [14], the Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind have been considered first by his pupils A.Korkin and E.Zolotarev and were named in honor of their teacher. Therefore, it is correct to name these polynomials Chebyshev-Korkin-Zolotarev, or CKZ-polynomials. Chebyshev polynomials are heavily used in numerical solutions (approximation theory). One of well known applications is in electrical filters (Chebyshev filters).

N	$J^2_N(z_N)$	$U_{N-1}(x)$
3	$1-1/4x^2$	$4x^2-1$
4	$1-1/2x^2$	$8x^3-4x$
5	$1-3/4x^2+1/16x^4$	$16x^4-12x^2+1$
6	$1-1/x^2+3/16x^4$	$32x^5-32x^3+6x$
7	$1-5/4x^2+3/8x^4-1/64x^6$	$64x^6-80x^4+24x^2-1$
8	$1-3/2x^2+5/8x^4-1/16x^6$	$128x^7-192x^5+80x^3-8x$
9	$1-7/4x^2+15/16x^4-5/32x^6+1/256x^8$	$256x^8-448x^6+240x^4-40x^2+1$

Table. Characteristic polynomials $J^2_N(x)$ presented in [4, 5] and Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind U_{N-1} given in literature [13, 14]. $x = cos(\theta/N)$. The connection $U_{N-1}(x) = (2x)^{N-1}J^2_N(x)$ can be easily verified.

It has been shown in general case of the multistep process [4, 5] that

$$Det\,(||a||_N) = sin\,\theta/sin(\theta_1), \tag{5.6}$$

where θ is the final angle of the cumulative particle. The angle θ_1 - the polar angle of the momentum of the particle in intermediate state - is different from θ/N if the resonance excitation takes place. It can take place in the first interaction act, and in any of subsequent interactions, and the optimal kinematics is changed in any of these processes. In any case, the resulting cross section

$$d\sigma \sim 1/J_N \sim \sqrt{sin(\theta_1)/sin\,\theta} \sim 1/\sqrt{\pi-\theta}, \tag{5.7}$$

so, the backward (azimuthal) focusing effect takes place for arbitrary multistep process, but the CKZ polynomials appear for the optimal kinematics with $\theta_k = \theta/N$, $k = 1, 2..., N-1$.

At singular point $\theta = \pi$ cross section of the whole MIP is proportional to $1/J_{N-1}(\pi/N)$ and is final [9], because $J_{N-1}(\pi/N)$ is different from zero, unlike $J_N(\pi/N) = 0$ [7, 9].

6 Conclusions

Physics argumentation, based on the small phase space method for description of the multistep processes probability in so called "kinematically forbidden regions" (cumulative particles production), leads to characteristic polynomials $J^2_N[cos(\theta/N)]$, defining the angular dependence of cross sections in backward direction, $\theta \sim \pi$, and to the equation

$$J^2_N[cos(\pi/N)] = 0. \tag{6.1}$$

The polynomials $J^2_N(z)$ coincide, up to some factor, with known in mathematics Chebyshev polynomials of 2-d kind, or Chebyshev-Korkin-Zolotqrev (CKZ) polynomials.

The nuclear glory phenomenon is a natural property of the MIP leading to the cumulative particles production. The dependence $d\sigma \sim 1/\sqrt{\pi-\theta}$ near $\theta \sim \pi$, takes place for any multiplicity of the process, $n \geq 3$. This effect, observed first at JINR and ITEP, is a clear manifestions of the fact that MIP make important contribution to the cumulative particles production, although contributions of interaction of the projectile with few-nucleon (multiquark) clusters, probably existing in nuclei, cannot be excluded. It would be of interst and important to detect the focusing effect for different types of produced particles, baryons and mesons (a "smoking gun" of the MIP mechanism).

References

1. Baldin A M 1972 Cumulative meson production in interactions of relativistic deuterons with nuclei. eConf C720906V1 277-278.
2. Bayukov Yu D, Vorobev L S, Leksin G A et al 1974 Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 18 639
3. Nikiforov N A, Bayukov Yu D, Efremenko V I et al 1980 Phys.Rev. C22 700
4. Kopeliovich V B, Matushko G K and Potashnikova I K 2014 J.Phys. G41 125107
5. Kopeliovich V and Matushko G. 'Buddha's light' of cumulative particles. Nuclear Glory Phenomenon. Scholars Press, Saarbruecken, Germany 2015 ISBN 978-3-639-76496-3
6. Kondratyuk L A and Kopeliovich V B 1975 JETP Lett. 21 40
7. Kopeliovich V B 1977 Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 26 87
8. Braun M A and Vechernin V V 1977 Yad.Fiz. 25 1276
9. Kopeliovich V B 1986 Phys.Rept. 139 51
10. Stavinsky V S 1979 Fiz.Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra 10 949
11. Vorob'ev L S, Gavrilov V B, Goryainov N A, et al 1986 Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 44 908
12. Vorob'ev L S, Gavrilov V B, Grishuk Yu G, et al 2000 Phys.Atom.Nucl. 63 145
13. Chebyshev polynomials. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chebyshevpolynomials
14. Mnogochleny Chebysheva. https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mnogochleny-Chebysheva